Ergodic Theorems for Continuous-time Quantum Walks on Crystal Lattices and the Torus

Mostafa SABRI

Joint work with Anne Boutet de Monvel

New York University, Abu Dhabi Campus

15 August 2024

Ergodic theorems

Time average = Space average.

If \mathcal{T} is ergodic on (Ω, μ) ,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n f(T^k x) = \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)}\int_{\Omega} f(y)\,\mathrm{d}\mu(y)$$

Mean value of f over a trajectory starting at x is equal to the mean value over the whole space.

 \rightsquigarrow the orbit of *x* covers Ω uniformly.

Kronecker-Weyl theorem



Figure – The trajectory from x_0 equidistributes along a.e. direction y_0 - Wikipedia and O. Knill (Harvard).

Case of the Sphere



Figure – Trajectories of a free particle on the sphere (O. Knill).

э

Quantum analogs

Point $v \in \Omega$ \longrightarrow Dirac mass $\delta_v \in \mathscr{H}$. Classical trajectory $\{\phi_t(v)\}_{t\geq 0}$ \longrightarrow Semigroup evolution $e^{it\Delta}\delta_v$. Mean of *a* over trajectory \longrightarrow Mean of *a* over probability measure $\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |(e^{it\Delta}\delta_v)(u)|^2 dt$

Continuous-time quantum walk

Consider $\Lambda_N = [[0, N - 1]]^d$ and A_N , the adjacency matrix with periodic conditions.



3

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Theorem (BdM-S., AHP 24)

For any $v \in \Lambda_N$, we have for a large class of observables a_N ,

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \left| \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \langle \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tA_N} \delta_v, a_N \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tA_N} \delta_v \rangle \, \mathrm{d}t - \langle a_N \rangle \right| = 0 \,,$$

where
$$\langle a \rangle = \frac{1}{N^d} \sum_{u \in \Lambda_N} a(u)$$
.

$$\sum_{u \in \Lambda_N} a(u) \mu_{v,T}^N(u) \approx \frac{1}{N^d} \sum_{u \in \Lambda_N} a(u)$$
for $\mu_{v,T}^N(u) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |(e^{-itA_N} \delta_v)(u)|^2 dt.$

3

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >



Figure – Left : point mass δ_v at time zero. Right : the density $\mu_{v,T}^N$ for $T, N \gg 0$. Qubits spread out uniformly. Very strong form of delocalization.

Here v is arbitrary. "Evolution forgets its initial state."

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ

Note : In quantum walks literature, if $\mu_t(v) = |e^{-it\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}}\psi(x)|^2$ for normalized ψ , it is known that $\mu_t \xrightarrow{w} 0$. People studied limits of the process per unit time. That is, if X_t is a random vector with distribution μ_t , the limiting distribution of $\frac{X_t}{t}$.

(Konno 05 and Japanese school. See also Boutet de Monvel-Sabri 23).



Figure – Asymptotics of rescaled process for $(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \delta_0)$, $(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}, \chi_{[-2,2]})$.

This is not what we do here : we have a finite box with periodic conditions and consider μ_t^N itself, showing that it goes to zero with the same mass everywhere. Finiteness (and periodicity?) are somewhat important here.

Theorem (BdM-S., AHP 24)

For the same class of a_N , we also have for $v \neq w$,

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \langle \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tA_N}\delta_w, a_N\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tA_N}\delta_v\rangle\,\mathrm{d}t = 0\,.$$

More generally, for any ϕ,ψ of compact support, we have

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \left| \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \langle \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tA_N}\phi, \mathbf{a}_N \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tA_N}\psi \rangle \,\mathrm{d}t - \langle \mathbf{a}_N \rangle \langle \phi, \psi \rangle \right| = 0 \,.$$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

Proposition

There exists a sequence of observables a_N on Λ_N such that

$$\liminf_{N \to \infty} \left(\langle \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} t A_N} \delta_{\mathsf{v}}, \mathsf{a}_N \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} t A_N} \delta_{\mathsf{v}} \rangle - \langle \mathsf{a}_N \rangle \right) \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

for all time. The same statement holds for the averaged dynamics $\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T$.

Proposition

There exists a sequence of observables a_N on Λ_N such that $\langle e^{-itA_N} \delta_{\nu}, a_N e^{-itA_N} \delta_{\nu} \rangle$ has no limit as $t \to \infty$.

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

Theorem (BdM-S., AHP 24)

The theorems hold true more generally for periodic Schrödinger operators on \mathbb{Z}^d -periodic graphs, provided they satisfy a certain Floquet condition.

This condition is satisfied in particular for the adjacency matrix on infinite strips, on the honeycomb lattice, and for Schödinger operators with periodic potentials on the triangular lattice and on \mathbb{Z}^d , for any d.

The average $\langle a_N \rangle$ may not be the uniform average of a_N in general, but a certain weighted average.

(日)



Figure – A point mass on the ladder (left) eventually equidistributes. On the strip of width 3, if the initial point mass lies in the top layer, it eventually (center) puts $\frac{3}{8}$ of its mass over the top and bottom layers and $\frac{1}{4}$ on the middle. If the point mass was in the middle layer, it eventually (right) puts $\frac{1}{4}$ on the top and bottom layers and $\frac{1}{2}$ in the middle layer.

A (10) < A (10) < A (10) </p>



Figure – A point mass (left) will spread $\frac{3}{8}$ of its mass over both its line and the line diagonally opposite to it, and only $\frac{1}{8}$ of its mass on each of the other two lines (right). If the cyclinder has size 4N, this means that each dark blue vertex carries a mass $\frac{3}{8N}$ and each light blue vertex carries a mass $\frac{1}{8N}$.



Figure – A uniform mass on V_f spreads uniformly. Not true for 3-strips.

.



Figure – An initial state with the given weights (and zero on the remaining vertices) stays frozen and does not spread under the action of e^{-itA_N} . This graph has a flat band $\lambda = 0$.

We now work in the *continuum* $\mathbb{T}^d_* = [0,1)^d$. Our starting point (initial state) will be $y \in [0,1)^d$.

 δ_{y} is singular. Bad for a probability density. Evolution of an L^{2} state?

We can use
$$\delta_y^{E}:=rac{1}{\sqrt{N_E}}\mathbf{1}_{\leq E}(-\Delta)\delta_y$$
 as $E o\infty$

or
$$\phi_y^{\varepsilon} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_d}} \mathbf{1}_{\times_{i=1}^d [y_i, y_i + \varepsilon_i]}$$
 as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$

as approximations (momentum and position truncation, respectively).

Theorem (BdM-S., AHP 24)

We have for any T > 0,

• For any
$$y \in \mathbb{T}^d_*$$
, any $a \in H^s(\mathbb{T}^d_*)$, $s > d/2$,
$$\lim_{E \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \langle e^{it\Delta} \delta^E_y, a e^{it\Delta} \delta^E_y \rangle dt = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d_*} a(x) dx.$$

 $\ \, {\it Omega} \ \, {\it If} \ x \neq y, \ \, {\it then \ for \ a \in H^{s}(\mathbb{T}^{d}_{*}), \ s > d/2, }$

$$\lim_{E\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \langle \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\Delta}\delta^E_x, a\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\Delta}\delta^E_y\rangle\,\mathrm{d}t=0\,.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Theorem

- Sesult (1) remains true if a = a^E depends on the semiclassical parameter E, as long as all partial derivatives of order ≤ s are uniformly bounded by cE^r for some r < ¹/₄. More precisely, lim_{E→∞} | ¹/_T ∫₀^T ⟨e^{itΔ}δ^E_y, a^Ee^{itΔ}δ^E_y⟩ dt − ∫_{T^d*} a^E(x) dx| = 0.
- The probability measure $d\mu_{y,T}^{E}(x) = (\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} |e^{it\Delta} \delta_{y}^{E}(x)|^{2} dt) dx$ on \mathbb{T}_{*}^{d} converges weakly to the uniform measure dx as $E \to \infty$.

$$\int_{\mathcal{T}^d_*} a(x) \mathrm{d} \mu^{\mathsf{E}}_{y,\mathcal{T}}(x) \approx \int_{\mathbb{T}^d_*} a(x) \, \mathrm{d} x \, .$$



Figure – Left : point mass at time zero. Right : Equidistribution as soon as T > 0.

Lemma

Time averaging is necessary, even when $\lim_{E\to\infty} \langle e^{it\Delta} \delta_y^E, a e^{it\Delta} \delta_y^E \rangle$ exists, it generally depends on the value of t and it may not be equal to $\int a(x) dx$.

メ 回 ト メ ヨ ト メ ヨ ト

Theorem (BdM-S., AHP 24)

Fix any $y \in \mathbb{T}^d_*$ and consider $\phi_y^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_d}} \mathbf{1}_{\times_{i=1}^d [y_i, y_i + \varepsilon_i]}$. Then for any $a \in H^s(\mathbb{T}^d_*)$, s > d/2, T > 0,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \langle \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t \Delta} \phi_y^\varepsilon, a \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t \Delta} \phi_y^\varepsilon \rangle \, \mathrm{d} t = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d_*} a(x) \, \mathrm{d} x \, ,$$

where $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ means more precisely that $\varepsilon_i \downarrow 0$ for each *i*. If $x \neq y$, then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \langle \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t \Delta} \phi_x^{\varepsilon}, \mathbf{a} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t \Delta} \phi_y^{\varepsilon} \rangle \, \mathrm{d} t = 0 \, .$$

Furthermore, the probability measure $d\mu_{y,T}^{\varepsilon}(x) = (\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} |e^{it\Delta} \phi_{y}^{\varepsilon}(x)|^{2} dt) dx$ on \mathbb{T}_{*}^{d} converges weakly to the uniform measure dx as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Sphere dynamics

Theorem (BdM-S., AHP 24)

Fix $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. There exists a normalized δ_{ξ} -sequence $S_{\xi}^{(n)}$ such that $\lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |e^{it\Delta} S_{\xi}^{(n)}(\eta)|^2 dt$ is not equidistributed on the sphere as $n \to \infty$ and actually diverges for $\eta = \xi$.

If d = 3, there exists an observable a such that its mean over $\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |e^{it\Delta} S_{\xi}^{(n)}(\eta)|^2 dt$ does not equidistribute (even after large T and n).

Earlier literature

- Quantum ergodicity of eigenvectors (a lot).
- Physics heuristics uses simplicity of eigenvalues here large multiplicity.
- Macià 2010 and Anantharaman-Macià 2014 Schrödinger dynamics on the torus.
- Anantharaman-Rivière 2012 dynamics on Anosov manifolds.
- Schubert 2005 evolution of Lagrangian states.

Perspectives

- Apply the result for more examples of periodic lattices. Requires calculation of Floquet projections.
- Which discrete time quantum walks exhibit the same phenomenon?

Remarks

The convergence of $\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |(e^{itH}\psi)(x)|^2 dt$ is quite trivial. In fact

$$|(e^{itH}\psi)(x)|^2 = \Big|\sum_{k=1}^m e^{itE_k}(P_k\psi)(x)\Big|^2,$$

SO

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |(e^{itH}\psi)(x)|^2 \mathrm{d}t = \sum_{k=1}^n |(P_k\psi)(x)|^2$$
(RHS) = $||\psi||^2$

and $\sum_{x} (RHS) = \|\psi\|^2$.

The difficulty is to prove the ergodicity of the map, i.e. constancy in x, not the convergence.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲国▶ ▲国▶ - 国 - のへの

Physics Heuristics - From eigenfunctions to dynamics

$$\begin{split} \langle \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH_{N}}\phi, a_{N}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH_{N}}\phi \rangle \\ &= \Big\langle \sum_{m=1}^{N} \langle \psi_{m}^{(N)}, \phi \rangle \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda_{m}^{(N)}}\psi_{m}^{(N)}, a_{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \langle \psi_{n}^{(N)}, \phi \rangle \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda_{n}^{(N)}}\psi_{n}^{(N)} \Big\rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{N} |\langle \psi_{n}^{(N)}, \phi \rangle|^{2} \langle \psi_{n}^{(N)}, a_{N}\psi_{n}^{(N)} \rangle \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{m,n \leq N \\ m \neq n}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t(\lambda_{m}^{(N)} - \lambda_{n}^{(N)})} \overline{\langle \psi_{m}^{(N)}, \phi \rangle} \langle \psi_{n}^{(N)}, \phi \rangle \langle \psi_{m}^{(N)}, a_{N}\psi_{n}^{(N)} \rangle \end{split}$$

Mostafa SABRI (NYUAD)

3

Proof Sketch - case of \mathbb{Z}^d

We expand functions in the Fourier basis $e_m^{(N)}(n) = \frac{1}{N^{d/2}} e^{2\pi i m \cdot n/N}$. If $\psi = \sum_{\ell \in \Lambda_N} \psi_{\ell}^{(N)} e_{\ell}^{(N)}$ then

$$(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tA_N}a\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tA_N}\psi)(n) = \sum_{\ell,m\in\Lambda_N}\psi_\ell^{(N)}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t(\lambda_{\ell+m}^{(N)}-\lambda_\ell^{(N)})}a_m^{(N)}e_m^{(N)}(n)e_\ell^{(N)}(n)\,.$$

Hence,

$$\langle \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tA_N} \delta_{\nu}, a \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tA_N} \delta_{\nu} \rangle = (\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tA_N} a \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tA_N} \delta_{\nu})(\nu)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N^d} \sum_{w \in \Lambda_N} a(w) + \frac{1}{N^d} \sum_{\substack{m \in \Lambda_N \\ m \neq 0}} a_m^{(N)} e_m^{(N)}(\nu) \sum_{\ell \in \Lambda_N} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t(\lambda_{\ell+m}^{(N)} - \lambda_{\ell}^{(N)})}$$

3

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Proof Sketch - Case of \mathbb{Z}^d

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \langle \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tA_N} \delta_v, \, a \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tA_N} \delta_v \rangle \, \mathrm{d}t - \langle a \rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{N^d} \sum_{\substack{m \in \Lambda_N \\ m \neq 0}} a_m^{(N)} e_m^{(N)}(v) \cdot \# \{ \ell \in \Lambda_N : \lambda_{\ell+m}^{(N)} = \lambda_\ell^{(N)} \} \,.$$

the set in the RHS is \approx a hyperplane with N^{d-1} points.

3

(日)

Proof Sketch

The periodic case uses Floquet theory and some analysis from McKenzie-S. 23.

The first torus theorem follows a similar strategy, but has an added *T*-error term. We use here that $\lambda_{\ell+m} - \lambda_{\ell} \to \infty$ as $|\ell| \to \infty$.

The second torus theorem is a bit different.

The sphere calculations take some efforts.

Postdoc offer



Figure – 2075 undergraduates from 120+ countries, faculty from 50+ nationalities.

Postdoc wanted !

(Unrelated : instructor also wanted, exercise sessions, no research).

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >