

Spectral shift functions and Dirichlet-to-Neumann maps

Jussi Behrndt (TU Graz)

with Fritz Gesztesy (Waco) and Shu Nakamura (Tokyo)

Analysis and Mathematical Physics 2024

- I. Spectral shift function
- II. Representation of the SSF via Weyl function
- III. Applications

PART I

Spectral shift function

A very brief history of the spectral shift function

A very brief history of the spectral shift function

This introduction is based on the monographs

- Yafaev'92 and '10: Mathematical Scattering Theory

A very brief history of the spectral shift function

This introduction is based on the monographs

- Yafaev'92 and '10: Mathematical Scattering Theory

and the survey papers

- BirmanYafaev'92: The spectral shift function. The papers of M. G. Krein and their further development
- BirmanPushnitski'98: Spectral shift function, amazing and multifaceted

A very brief history of the spectral shift function

This introduction is based on the monographs

- Yafaev'92 and '10: Mathematical Scattering Theory

and the survey papers

- BirmanYafaev'92: The spectral shift function. The papers of M. G. Krein and their further development
- BirmanPushnitski'98: Spectral shift function, amazing and multifaceted

General assumption

\mathcal{H} Hilbert space, A, B selfadjoint (unbounded) operators in \mathcal{H}

A very brief history of the spectral shift function

This introduction is based on the monographs

- Yafaev'92 and '10: Mathematical Scattering Theory and the survey papers
- BirmanYafaev'92: The spectral shift function. The papers of M. G. Krein and their further development
- BirmanPushnitski'98: Spectral shift function, amazing and multifaceted

General assumption

\mathcal{H} Hilbert space, A, B selfadjoint (unbounded) operators in \mathcal{H}

I. M. Lifshitz, 1952

$B - A$ **finite rank** operator. Then exists $\xi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that *formally*

$$\operatorname{tr}(\varphi(B) - \varphi(A)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi'(t)\xi(t) dt$$

Krein's spectral shift function (1953 and 1962)

Krein's spectral shift function (1953 and 1962)

Theorem

Assume $B - A$ **trace class** operator, i.e. $B - A \in \mathfrak{S}_1$.

Krein's spectral shift function (1953 and 1962)

Theorem

Assume $B - A$ **trace class** operator, i.e. $B - A \in \mathfrak{S}_1$. Then exists real-valued $\xi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\operatorname{tr}((B - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda)^{-1}) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\xi(t)}{(t - \lambda)^2} dt$$

Krein's spectral shift function (1953 and 1962)

Theorem

Assume $B - A$ **trace class** operator, i.e. $B - A \in \mathfrak{S}_1$. Then exists real-valued $\xi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\operatorname{tr} \left((B - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda)^{-1} \right) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\xi(t)}{(t - \lambda)^2} dt$$

and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi(t) dt = \operatorname{tr} (B - A)$.

Krein's spectral shift function (1953 and 1962)

Theorem

Assume $B - A$ **trace class** operator, i.e. $B - A \in \mathfrak{S}_1$. Then exists real-valued $\xi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\operatorname{tr} \left((B - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda)^{-1} \right) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\xi(t)}{(t - \lambda)^2} dt$$

and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi(t) dt = \operatorname{tr}(B - A)$.

- $\operatorname{tr}(\varphi(B) - \varphi(A)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi'(t) \xi(t) dt$ for $\varphi(t) = (t - \lambda)^{-1}$

Krein's spectral shift function (1953 and 1962)

Theorem

Assume $B - A$ **trace class** operator, i.e. $B - A \in \mathfrak{S}_1$. Then exists real-valued $\xi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\operatorname{tr} \left((B - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda)^{-1} \right) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\xi(t)}{(t - \lambda)^2} dt$$

and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi(t) dt = \operatorname{tr} (B - A)$.

- $\operatorname{tr} (\varphi(B) - \varphi(A)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi'(t) \xi(t) dt$ for $\varphi(t) = (t - \lambda)^{-1}$
- Extends to Wiener class $W_1(\mathbb{R})$: $\varphi'(t) = \int e^{-it\mu} d\sigma(\mu)$

Krein's spectral shift function (1953 and 1962)

Theorem

Assume $B - A$ **trace class** operator, i.e. $B - A \in \mathfrak{S}_1$. Then exists real-valued $\xi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\operatorname{tr} \left((B - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda)^{-1} \right) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\xi(t)}{(t - \lambda)^2} dt$$

and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi(t) dt = \operatorname{tr} (B - A)$.

- $\operatorname{tr} (\varphi(B) - \varphi(A)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi'(t) \xi(t) dt$ for $\varphi(t) = (t - \lambda)^{-1}$
- Extends to Wiener class $W_1(\mathbb{R})$: $\varphi'(t) = \int e^{-it\mu} d\sigma(\mu)$

Corollary

If $\delta = (a, b)$ and $\bar{\delta} \cap \sigma_{\text{ess}}(A) = \emptyset$ then

$$\xi(b-) - \xi(a+) = \dim \operatorname{ran} E_B(\delta) - \dim \operatorname{ran} E_A(\delta)$$

Krein's spectral shift function (1953 and 1962)

Theorem

Assume $B - A$ **trace class** operator, i.e. $B - A \in \mathfrak{S}_1$. Then exists real-valued $\xi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\operatorname{tr} \left((B - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda)^{-1} \right) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\xi(t)}{(t - \lambda)^2} dt$$

and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi(t) dt = \operatorname{tr} (B - A)$.

- $\operatorname{tr} (\varphi(B) - \varphi(A)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi'(t) \xi(t) dt$ for $\varphi(t) = (t - \lambda)^{-1}$
- Extends to Wiener class $W_1(\mathbb{R})$: $\varphi'(t) = \int e^{-it\mu} d\sigma(\mu)$

Corollary

If $\delta = (a, b)$ and $\bar{\delta} \cap \sigma_{\text{ess}}(A) = \emptyset$ then

$$\xi(b-) - \xi(a+) = \dim \operatorname{ran} E_B(\delta) - \dim \operatorname{ran} E_A(\delta)$$

- Spectral shift function for U, V unitary, $V - U \in \mathfrak{S}_1$

Krein's spectral shift function (1953 and 1962)

Theorem

Assume

$$(B - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda)^{-1} \in \mathfrak{G}_1, \quad \lambda \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(B). \quad (1)$$

Krein's spectral shift function (1953 and 1962)

Theorem

Assume

$$(B - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda)^{-1} \in \mathfrak{G}_1, \quad \lambda \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(B). \quad (1)$$

Then exists $\xi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi(t)|(1 + t^2)^{-1} dt < \infty$

Krein's spectral shift function (1953 and 1962)

Theorem

Assume

$$(B - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda)^{-1} \in \mathfrak{S}_1, \quad \lambda \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(B). \quad (1)$$

Then exists $\xi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi(t)|(1 + t^2)^{-1} dt < \infty$ and

$$\text{tr}((B - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda)^{-1}) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\xi(t)}{(t - \lambda)^2} dt.$$

Krein's spectral shift function (1953 and 1962)

Theorem

Assume

$$(B - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda)^{-1} \in \mathfrak{S}_1, \quad \lambda \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(B). \quad (1)$$

Then exists $\xi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi(t)|(1 + t^2)^{-1} dt < \infty$ and

$$\text{tr}((B - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda)^{-1}) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\xi(t)}{(t - \lambda)^2} dt.$$

The function ξ is unique up to a real constant.

Krein's spectral shift function (1953 and 1962)

Theorem

Assume

$$(B - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda)^{-1} \in \mathfrak{S}_1, \quad \lambda \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(B). \quad (1)$$

Then exists $\xi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi(t)|(1+t^2)^{-1} dt < \infty$ and

$$\text{tr}((B - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda)^{-1}) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\xi(t)}{(t - \lambda)^2} dt.$$

The function ξ is unique up to a real constant.

- Trace formula for $\varphi(t) = (t - \lambda)^{-1}$ and $\varphi(t) = (t - \lambda)^{-k}$

Krein's spectral shift function (1953 and 1962)

Theorem

Assume

$$(B - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda)^{-1} \in \mathfrak{S}_1, \quad \lambda \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(B). \quad (1)$$

Then exists $\xi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi(t)|(1+t^2)^{-1} dt < \infty$ and

$$\text{tr}((B - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda)^{-1}) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\xi(t)}{(t - \lambda)^2} dt.$$

The function ξ is unique up to a real constant.

- Trace formula for $\varphi(t) = (t - \lambda)^{-1}$ and $\varphi(t) = (t - \lambda)^{-k}$
- Large class of φ in trace formula in Peller'85

Krein's spectral shift function (1953 and 1962)

Theorem

Assume

$$(B - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda)^{-1} \in \mathfrak{S}_1, \quad \lambda \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(B). \quad (1)$$

Then exists $\xi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi(t)|(1+t^2)^{-1} dt < \infty$ and

$$\text{tr}((B - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda)^{-1}) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\xi(t)}{(t - \lambda)^2} dt.$$

The function ξ is unique up to a real constant.

- Trace formula for $\varphi(t) = (t - \lambda)^{-1}$ and $\varphi(t) = (t - \lambda)^{-k}$
- Large class of φ in trace formula in Peller'85

Birman-Krein formula

Assume (1). The scattering matrix $\{S(\lambda)\}$ of $\{A, B\}$

Krein's spectral shift function (1953 and 1962)

Theorem

Assume

$$(B - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda)^{-1} \in \mathfrak{S}_1, \quad \lambda \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(B). \quad (1)$$

Then exists $\xi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi(t)|(1+t^2)^{-1} dt < \infty$ and

$$\text{tr}((B - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda)^{-1}) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\xi(t)}{(t - \lambda)^2} dt.$$

The function ξ is unique up to a real constant.

- Trace formula for $\varphi(t) = (t - \lambda)^{-1}$ and $\varphi(t) = (t - \lambda)^{-k}$
- Large class of φ in trace formula in Peller'85

Birman-Krein formula

Assume (1). The scattering matrix $\{S(\lambda)\}$ of $\{A, B\}$ satisfies

$$\det S(\lambda) = e^{-2\pi i \xi(\lambda)} \quad \text{for a.e. } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$

Krein's spectral shift function: Generalizations

L.S. Koplienko 1971

Assume $\rho(A) \cap \rho(B) \cap \mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset$ and for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$(B - \lambda)^{-m} - (A - \lambda)^{-m} \in \mathfrak{S}_1. \quad (2)$$

Krein's spectral shift function: Generalizations

L.S. Koplienko 1971

Assume $\rho(A) \cap \rho(B) \cap \mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset$ and for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$(B - \lambda)^{-m} - (A - \lambda)^{-m} \in \mathfrak{S}_1. \quad (2)$$

Then exists $\xi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi(t)|(1 + |t|)^{-(m+1)} dt < \infty$

$$\text{tr}((B - \lambda)^{-m} - (A - \lambda)^{-m}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{-m}{(t - \lambda)^{m+1}} \xi(t) dt.$$

Krein's spectral shift function: Generalizations

L.S. Koplienko 1971

Assume $\rho(A) \cap \rho(B) \cap \mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset$ and for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$(B - \lambda)^{-m} - (A - \lambda)^{-m} \in \mathfrak{S}_1. \quad (2)$$

Then exists $\xi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi(t)|(1 + |t|)^{-(m+1)} dt < \infty$

$$\text{tr}((B - \lambda)^{-m} - (A - \lambda)^{-m}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{-m}{(t - \lambda)^{m+1}} \xi(t) dt.$$

D.R. Yafaev 2005

Assume (2) for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ odd.

Krein's spectral shift function: Generalizations

L.S. Koplienko 1971

Assume $\rho(A) \cap \rho(B) \cap \mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset$ and for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$(B - \lambda)^{-m} - (A - \lambda)^{-m} \in \mathfrak{S}_1. \quad (2)$$

Then exists $\xi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi(t)|(1 + |t|)^{-(m+1)} dt < \infty$

$$\text{tr}((B - \lambda)^{-m} - (A - \lambda)^{-m}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{-m}{(t - \lambda)^{m+1}} \xi(t) dt.$$

D.R. Yafaev 2005

Assume (2) for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ odd. Then exists $\xi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi(t)|(1 + |t|)^{-(m+1)} dt < \infty$

$$\text{tr}((B - \lambda)^{-m} - (A - \lambda)^{-m}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{-m}{(t - \lambda)^{m+1}} \xi(t) dt.$$

Some references and contributors

- BuslaevFaddeev'60
- BirmanSolomyak'72
- KreinYavryan'81
- GesztesySimon'96
- Pushnitski'97

Adamyanyan, Albeverio, Azamov, Bony, Bruneau, Carey, Chattopadhyay, Combes, Demirel, Dimassi, Dodds, Dykema, Fernandez, Geisler, Hislop, Hundertmark, Ivrii, Khochman, Killip, Klopp, Kohmoto, Koma, Kostykin, Krüger, Langer, Latushkin, Makarov, Malamud, Mohapatra, Motovilov, Müller, Naboko, Nakamura, Neidhardt, Nichols, Pavlov, Peller, Petkov, Potapov, Raikov, Rummenigge, Ruzhansky, Rybkin, Sadovnichii, Safronov, Scharder, Sinha, Shubin, Sobolev, Skripka, de Snoo, Stollmann, Sukhtaiev, Sukochev, Teschl, Tomilov, Veselic...

PART II

Representation of the SSF via Weyl function

Quasi boundary triples

$S \subset S^*$ closed symmetric operator in \mathcal{H} with infinite defect

Quasi boundary triples

$S \subset S^*$ closed symmetric operator in \mathcal{H} with infinite defect

Def. [Bruk76, Kochubei75; DerkachMalamud95; B. Langer07]

$\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ **quasi boundary triple for S^***

Quasi boundary triples

$S \subset S^*$ closed symmetric operator in \mathcal{H} with infinite defect

Def. [Bruk76, Kochubei75; DerkachMalamud95; B. Langer07]

$\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ **quasi boundary triple for S^*** if \mathcal{G} Hilbert space

Quasi boundary triples

$S \subset S^*$ closed symmetric operator in \mathcal{H} with infinite defect

Def. [Bruk76, Kochubei75; DerkachMalamud95; B. Langer07]

$\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ **quasi boundary triple for S^*** if \mathcal{G} Hilbert space and $T \subset \overline{T} = S^*$

Quasi boundary triples

$S \subset S^*$ closed symmetric operator in \mathcal{H} with infinite defect

Def. [Bruk76, Kochubei75; DerkachMalamud95; B. Langer07]

$\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ **quasi boundary triple for S^*** if \mathcal{G} Hilbert space and $T \subset \overline{T} = S^*$ and $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 : \text{dom } T \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$

Quasi boundary triples

$S \subset S^*$ closed symmetric operator in \mathcal{H} with infinite defect

Def. [Bruk76, Kochubei75; DerkachMalamud95; B. Langer07]

$\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ **quasi boundary triple for S^*** if \mathcal{G} Hilbert space and $T \subset \overline{T} = S^*$ and $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 : \text{dom } T \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that

(i) $(Tf, g) - (f, Tg) = (\Gamma_1 f, \Gamma_0 g) - (\Gamma_0 f, \Gamma_1 g), f, g \in \text{dom } T$

Quasi boundary triples

$S \subset S^*$ closed symmetric operator in \mathcal{H} with infinite defect

Def. [Bruk76, Kochubei75; DerkachMalamud95; B. Langer07]

$\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ **quasi boundary triple for S^*** if \mathcal{G} Hilbert space and $T \subset \overline{T} = S^*$ and $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 : \text{dom } T \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that

- (i) $(Tf, g) - (f, Tg) = (\Gamma_1 f, \Gamma_0 g) - (\Gamma_0 f, \Gamma_1 g), f, g \in \text{dom } T$
- (ii) $\Gamma := \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_0 \\ \Gamma_1 \end{pmatrix} : \text{dom } T \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}$ dense range

Quasi boundary triples

$S \subset S^*$ closed symmetric operator in \mathcal{H} with infinite defect

Def. [Bruk76, Kochubei75; DerkachMalamud95; B. Langer07]

$\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ **quasi boundary triple for S^*** if \mathcal{G} Hilbert space and $T \subset \overline{T} = S^*$ and $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 : \text{dom } T \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that

- (i) $(Tf, g) - (f, Tg) = (\Gamma_1 f, \Gamma_0 g) - (\Gamma_0 f, \Gamma_1 g), f, g \in \text{dom } T$
- (ii) $\Gamma := \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_0 \\ \Gamma_1 \end{pmatrix} : \text{dom } T \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}$ dense range
- (iii) $A_0 = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_0$ selfadjoint

Quasi boundary triples

$S \subset S^*$ closed symmetric operator in \mathcal{H} with infinite defect

Def. [Bruk76, Kochubei75; DerkachMalamud95; B. Langer07]

$\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ **quasi boundary triple for S^*** if \mathcal{G} Hilbert space and $T \subset \overline{T} = S^*$ and $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 : \text{dom } T \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that

- (i) $(Tf, g) - (f, Tg) = (\Gamma_1 f, \Gamma_0 g) - (\Gamma_0 f, \Gamma_1 g), f, g \in \text{dom } T$
- (ii) $\Gamma := \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_0 \\ \Gamma_1 \end{pmatrix} : \text{dom } T \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}$ dense range
- (iii) $A_0 = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_0$ selfadjoint

Example $(-\Delta + V$ on domain $\Omega, \partial\Omega$ of class $C^2, V \in L^\infty$ real)

Quasi boundary triples

$S \subset S^*$ closed symmetric operator in \mathcal{H} with infinite defect

Def. [Bruk76, Kochubei75; DerkachMalamud95; B. Langer07]

$\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ **quasi boundary triple for S^*** if \mathcal{G} Hilbert space and $T \subset \overline{T} = S^*$ and $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 : \text{dom } T \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that

- (i) $(Tf, g) - (f, Tg) = (\Gamma_1 f, \Gamma_0 g) - (\Gamma_0 f, \Gamma_1 g)$, $f, g \in \text{dom } T$
- (ii) $\Gamma := \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_0 \\ \Gamma_1 \end{pmatrix} : \text{dom } T \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}$ dense range
- (iii) $A_0 = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_0$ selfadjoint

Example $(-\Delta + V$ on domain Ω , $\partial\Omega$ of class C^2 , $V \in L^\infty$ real)

$Sf = -\Delta f + Vf \upharpoonright \{f \in H^2(\Omega) : f|_{\partial\Omega} = \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}$

Quasi boundary triples

$S \subset S^*$ closed symmetric operator in \mathcal{H} with infinite defect

Def. [Bruk76, Kochubei75; DerkachMalamud95; B. Langer07]

$\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ **quasi boundary triple for S^*** if \mathcal{G} Hilbert space and $T \subset \overline{T} = S^*$ and $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 : \text{dom } T \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that

- (i) $(Tf, g) - (f, Tg) = (\Gamma_1 f, \Gamma_0 g) - (\Gamma_0 f, \Gamma_1 g)$, $f, g \in \text{dom } T$
- (ii) $\Gamma := \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_0 \\ \Gamma_1 \end{pmatrix} : \text{dom } T \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}$ dense range
- (iii) $A_0 = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_0$ selfadjoint

Example $(-\Delta + V$ on domain Ω , $\partial\Omega$ of class C^2 , $V \in L^\infty$ real)

$$Sf = -\Delta f + Vf \upharpoonright \{f \in H^2(\Omega) : f|_{\partial\Omega} = \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}$$

$$S^*f = -\Delta f + Vf \upharpoonright \{f \in L^2(\Omega) : \Delta f \in L^2(\Omega)\} \not\subset H^s(\Omega), \quad s > 0$$

Quasi boundary triples

$S \subset S^*$ closed symmetric operator in \mathcal{H} with infinite defect

Def. [Bruk76, Kochubei75; DerkachMalamud95; B. Langer07]

$\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ **quasi boundary triple for S^*** if \mathcal{G} Hilbert space and $T \subset \overline{T} = S^*$ and $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 : \text{dom } T \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that

- (i) $(Tf, g) - (f, Tg) = (\Gamma_1 f, \Gamma_0 g) - (\Gamma_0 f, \Gamma_1 g)$, $f, g \in \text{dom } T$
- (ii) $\Gamma := \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_0 \\ \Gamma_1 \end{pmatrix} : \text{dom } T \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}$ dense range
- (iii) $A_0 = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_0$ selfadjoint

Example $(-\Delta + V$ on domain Ω , $\partial\Omega$ of class C^2 , $V \in L^\infty$ real)

$$Sf = -\Delta f + Vf \upharpoonright \{f \in H^2(\Omega) : f|_{\partial\Omega} = \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}$$

$$S^*f = -\Delta f + Vf \upharpoonright \{f \in L^2(\Omega) : \Delta f \in L^2(\Omega)\} \not\subset H^s(\Omega), \quad s > 0$$

$$Tf = -\Delta f + Vf \upharpoonright H^2(\Omega)$$

Quasi boundary triples

$S \subset S^*$ closed symmetric operator in \mathcal{H} with infinite defect

Def. [Bruk76, Kochubei75; DerkachMalamud95; B. Langer07]

$\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ **quasi boundary triple for S^*** if \mathcal{G} Hilbert space and $T \subset \overline{T} = S^*$ and $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 : \text{dom } T \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that

- (i) $(Tf, g) - (f, Tg) = (\Gamma_1 f, \Gamma_0 g) - (\Gamma_0 f, \Gamma_1 g)$, $f, g \in \text{dom } T$
- (ii) $\Gamma := \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_0 \\ \Gamma_1 \end{pmatrix} : \text{dom } T \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}$ dense range
- (iii) $A_0 = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_0$ selfadjoint

Example $(-\Delta + V$ on domain Ω , $\partial\Omega$ of class C^2 , $V \in L^\infty$ real)

$$Sf = -\Delta f + Vf \upharpoonright \{f \in H^2(\Omega) : f|_{\partial\Omega} = \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}$$

$$S^*f = -\Delta f + Vf \upharpoonright \{f \in L^2(\Omega) : \Delta f \in L^2(\Omega)\} \not\subset H^s(\Omega), \quad s > 0$$

$$Tf = -\Delta f + Vf \upharpoonright H^2(\Omega)$$

$$\text{Here } (Tf, g) - (f, Tg) = (f|_{\partial\Omega}, \partial_\nu g|_{\partial\Omega}) - (\partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega}, g|_{\partial\Omega}).$$

Quasi boundary triples

$S \subset S^*$ closed symmetric operator in \mathcal{H} with infinite defect

Def. [Bruk76, Kochubei75; DerkachMalamud95; B. Langer07]

$\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ **quasi boundary triple for S^*** if \mathcal{G} Hilbert space and $T \subset \overline{T} = S^*$ and $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 : \text{dom } T \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that

- (i) $(Tf, g) - (f, Tg) = (\Gamma_1 f, \Gamma_0 g) - (\Gamma_0 f, \Gamma_1 g)$, $f, g \in \text{dom } T$
- (ii) $\Gamma := \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_0 \\ \Gamma_1 \end{pmatrix} : \text{dom } T \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}$ dense range
- (iii) $A_0 = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_0$ selfadjoint

Example $(-\Delta + V$ on domain Ω , $\partial\Omega$ of class C^2 , $V \in L^\infty$ real)

$$Sf = -\Delta f + Vf \upharpoonright \{f \in H^2(\Omega) : f|_{\partial\Omega} = \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}$$

$$S^*f = -\Delta f + Vf \upharpoonright \{f \in L^2(\Omega) : \Delta f \in L^2(\Omega)\} \not\subset H^s(\Omega), \quad s > 0$$

$$Tf = -\Delta f + Vf \upharpoonright H^2(\Omega)$$

Here $(Tf, g) - (f, Tg) = (f|_{\partial\Omega}, \partial_\nu g|_{\partial\Omega}) - (\partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega}, g|_{\partial\Omega})$.

Choose $\mathcal{G} = L^2(\partial\Omega)$, $\Gamma_0 f := \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega}$, $\Gamma_1 f := f|_{\partial\Omega}$.

γ -field and Weyl function

$S \subset T \subset \overline{T} = S^*$, $\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ quasi boundary triple (QBT).

γ -field and Weyl function

$S \subset T \subset \overline{T} = S^*$, $\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ quasi boundary triple (QBT).

Definition

Let $f_\lambda \in \ker(T - \lambda)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. γ -**field** and **Weyl function**:

$$\gamma(\lambda) : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad \Gamma_0 f_\lambda \mapsto f_\lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$$

$$M(\lambda) : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}, \quad \Gamma_0 f_\lambda \mapsto \Gamma_1 f_\lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$$

γ -field and Weyl function

$S \subset T \subset \overline{T} = S^*$, $\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ quasi boundary triple (QBT).

Definition

Let $f_\lambda \in \ker(T - \lambda)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. γ -**field** and **Weyl function**:

$$\gamma(\lambda) : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad \Gamma_0 f_\lambda \mapsto f_\lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$$

$$M(\lambda) : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}, \quad \Gamma_0 f_\lambda \mapsto \Gamma_1 f_\lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$$

- $\gamma(\lambda)$ solves boundary value problem in PDE

γ -field and Weyl function

$S \subset T \subset \overline{T} = S^*$, $\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ quasi boundary triple (QBT).

Definition

Let $f_\lambda \in \ker(T - \lambda)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. γ -**field** and **Weyl function**:

$$\gamma(\lambda) : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad \Gamma_0 f_\lambda \mapsto f_\lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$$

$$M(\lambda) : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}, \quad \Gamma_0 f_\lambda \mapsto \Gamma_1 f_\lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$$

- $\gamma(\lambda)$ solves boundary value problem in PDE
- $M(\lambda)$ Dirichlet-to-Neumann (Neumann-to-Dir. map) in PDE

γ -field and Weyl function

$S \subset T \subset \overline{T} = S^*$, $\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ quasi boundary triple (QBT).

Definition

Let $f_\lambda \in \ker(T - \lambda)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. γ -**field** and **Weyl function**:

$$\gamma(\lambda) : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad \Gamma_0 f_\lambda \mapsto f_\lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$$

$$M(\lambda) : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}, \quad \Gamma_0 f_\lambda \mapsto \Gamma_1 f_\lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$$

- $\gamma(\lambda)$ solves boundary value problem in PDE
- $M(\lambda)$ Dirichlet-to-Neumann (Neumann-to-Dir. map) in PDE

Example: $-\Delta + V$, QBT $\{L^2(\partial\Omega), \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega}, f|_{\partial\Omega}\}$

γ -field and Weyl function

$S \subset T \subset \overline{T} = S^*$, $\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ quasi boundary triple (QBT).

Definition

Let $f_\lambda \in \ker(T - \lambda)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. γ -**field** and **Weyl function**:

$$\gamma(\lambda) : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad \Gamma_0 f_\lambda \mapsto f_\lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$$

$$M(\lambda) : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}, \quad \Gamma_0 f_\lambda \mapsto \Gamma_1 f_\lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$$

- $\gamma(\lambda)$ solves boundary value problem in PDE
- $M(\lambda)$ Dirichlet-to-Neumann (Neumann-to-Dir. map) in PDE

Example: $-\Delta + V$, QBT $\{L^2(\partial\Omega), \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega}, f|_{\partial\Omega}\}$

Here $\ker(T - \lambda) = \{f \in H^2(\Omega) : -\Delta f + Vf = \lambda f\}$

γ -field and Weyl function

$S \subset T \subset \overline{T} = S^*$, $\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ quasi boundary triple (QBT).

Definition

Let $f_\lambda \in \ker(T - \lambda)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. γ -**field** and **Weyl function**:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(\lambda) : \mathcal{G} &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}, & \Gamma_0 f_\lambda &\mapsto f_\lambda, & \lambda &\in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \\ M(\lambda) : \mathcal{G} &\rightarrow \mathcal{G}, & \Gamma_0 f_\lambda &\mapsto \Gamma_1 f_\lambda, & \lambda &\in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

- $\gamma(\lambda)$ solves boundary value problem in PDE
- $M(\lambda)$ Dirichlet-to-Neumann (Neumann-to-Dir. map) in PDE

Example: $-\Delta + V$, QBT $\{L^2(\partial\Omega), \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega}, f|_{\partial\Omega}\}$

Here $\ker(T - \lambda) = \{f \in H^2(\Omega) : -\Delta f + Vf = \lambda f\}$ and

$$\gamma(\lambda) : L^2(\partial\Omega) \supset H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega), \quad \varphi \mapsto f_\lambda,$$

where $(-\Delta + V)f_\lambda = \lambda f_\lambda$ and $\partial_\nu f_\lambda|_{\partial\Omega} = \varphi$

γ -field and Weyl function

$S \subset T \subset \bar{T} = S^*$, $\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ quasi boundary triple (QBT).

Definition

Let $f_\lambda \in \ker(T - \lambda)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. γ -**field** and **Weyl function**:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(\lambda) : \mathcal{G} &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}, & \Gamma_0 f_\lambda &\mapsto f_\lambda, & \lambda &\in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \\ M(\lambda) : \mathcal{G} &\rightarrow \mathcal{G}, & \Gamma_0 f_\lambda &\mapsto \Gamma_1 f_\lambda, & \lambda &\in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}\end{aligned}$$

- $\gamma(\lambda)$ solves boundary value problem in PDE
- $M(\lambda)$ Dirichlet-to-Neumann (Neumann-to-Dir. map) in PDE

Example: $-\Delta + V$, QBT $\{L^2(\partial\Omega), \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega}, f|_{\partial\Omega}\}$

Here $\ker(T - \lambda) = \{f \in H^2(\Omega) : -\Delta f + Vf = \lambda f\}$ and

$$\gamma(\lambda) : L^2(\partial\Omega) \supset H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega), \quad \varphi \mapsto f_\lambda,$$

where $(-\Delta + V)f_\lambda = \lambda f_\lambda$ and $\partial_\nu f_\lambda|_{\partial\Omega} = \varphi$, and

$$M(\lambda) : L^2(\partial\Omega) \supset H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\partial\Omega), \quad \varphi = \partial_\nu f_\lambda|_{\partial\Omega} \mapsto f_\lambda|_{\partial\Omega}.$$

Quasi boundary triples and selfadjoint extensions

Perturbation problems for selfadjoint operators in QBT scheme:

Quasi boundary triples and selfadjoint extensions

Perturbation problems for selfadjoint operators in QBT scheme:

Lemma

Assume A, B selfadjoint and $S = A \cap B$,

Quasi boundary triples and selfadjoint extensions

Perturbation problems for selfadjoint operators in QBT scheme:

Lemma

Assume A, B selfadjoint and $S = A \cap B$,

$$Sf := Af = Bf, \quad \text{dom } S = \{f \in \text{dom } A \cap \text{dom } B : Af = Bf\}$$

Quasi boundary triples and selfadjoint extensions

Perturbation problems for selfadjoint operators in QBT scheme:

Lemma

Assume A, B selfadjoint and $S = A \cap B$,

$$Sf := Af = Bf, \quad \text{dom } S = \{f \in \text{dom } A \cap \text{dom } B : Af = Bf\}$$

densely defined.

Quasi boundary triples and selfadjoint extensions

Perturbation problems for selfadjoint operators in QBT scheme:

Lemma

Assume A, B selfadjoint and $S = A \cap B$,

$$Sf := Af = Bf, \quad \text{dom } S = \{f \in \text{dom } A \cap \text{dom } B : Af = Bf\}$$

densely defined. Then exists $T \subset \overline{T} = S^*$ and QBT $\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ such that

$$A = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_0 \quad \text{and} \quad B = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_1$$

Quasi boundary triples and selfadjoint extensions

Perturbation problems for selfadjoint operators in QBT scheme:

Lemma

Assume A, B selfadjoint and $S = A \cap B$,

$$Sf := Af = Bf, \quad \text{dom } S = \{f \in \text{dom } A \cap \text{dom } B : Af = Bf\}$$

densely defined. Then exists $T \subset \overline{T} = S^*$ and QBT $\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ such that

$$A = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_0 \quad \text{and} \quad B = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_1$$

and

$$(B - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda)^{-1} = -\gamma(\lambda)M(\lambda)^{-1}\gamma(\bar{\lambda})^*,$$

Quasi boundary triples and selfadjoint extensions

Perturbation problems for selfadjoint operators in QBT scheme:

Lemma

Assume A, B selfadjoint and $S = A \cap B$,

$$Sf := Af = Bf, \quad \text{dom } S = \{f \in \text{dom } A \cap \text{dom } B : Af = Bf\}$$

densely defined. Then exists $T \subset \overline{T} = S^*$ and QBT $\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ such that

$$A = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_0 \quad \text{and} \quad B = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_1$$

and

$$(B - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda)^{-1} = -\gamma(\lambda)M(\lambda)^{-1}\gamma(\bar{\lambda})^*,$$

where γ and M are the γ -field and Weyl function of $\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$.

Main abstract result: First order case

Theorem

A, B selfadjoint, $S = A \cap B$ densely defined and $\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ QBT

$$A = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_0 \quad \text{and} \quad B = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_1$$

Main abstract result: First order case

Theorem

A, B selfadjoint, $S = A \cap B$ densely defined and $\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ QBT

$$A = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_0 \quad \text{and} \quad B = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_1$$

Assume

$$(A - \mu)^{-1} \geq (B - \mu)^{-1} \quad \text{for some } \mu \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(B) \cap \mathbb{R}$$

Main abstract result: First order case

Theorem

A, B selfadjoint, $S = A \cap B$ densely defined and $\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ QBT

$$A = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_0 \quad \text{and} \quad B = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_1$$

Assume

$$(A - \mu)^{-1} \geq (B - \mu)^{-1} \quad \text{for some } \mu \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(B) \cap \mathbb{R}$$

and $\overline{\gamma(\lambda_0)} \in \mathfrak{S}_2$

Main abstract result: First order case

Theorem

A, B selfadjoint, $S = A \cap B$ densely defined and $\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ QBT

$$A = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_0 \quad \text{and} \quad B = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_1$$

Assume

$$(A - \mu)^{-1} \geq (B - \mu)^{-1} \quad \text{for some } \mu \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(B) \cap \mathbb{R}$$

and $\overline{\gamma(\lambda_0)} \in \mathfrak{S}_2$, $M(\lambda_1)^{-1}, M(\lambda_2)$ bounded for $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$

Main abstract result: First order case

Theorem

A, B selfadjoint, $S = A \cap B$ densely defined and $\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ QBT

$$A = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_0 \quad \text{and} \quad B = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_1$$

Assume

$$(A - \mu)^{-1} \geq (B - \mu)^{-1} \quad \text{for some } \mu \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(B) \cap \mathbb{R}$$

and $\overline{\gamma(\lambda_0)} \in \mathfrak{S}_2$, $M(\lambda_1)^{-1}, M(\lambda_2)$ bounded for $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$ Then:

- $(B - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda)^{-1} = -\gamma(\lambda)M(\lambda)^{-1}\gamma(\bar{\lambda})^*$

Main abstract result: First order case

Theorem

A, B selfadjoint, $S = A \cap B$ densely defined and $\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ QBT

$$A = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_0 \quad \text{and} \quad B = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_1$$

Assume

$$(A - \mu)^{-1} \geq (B - \mu)^{-1} \quad \text{for some } \mu \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(B) \cap \mathbb{R}$$

and $\overline{\gamma(\lambda_0)} \in \mathfrak{S}_2$, $M(\lambda_1)^{-1}, M(\lambda_2)$ bounded for $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$ Then:

- $(B - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda)^{-1} = -\gamma(\lambda)M(\lambda)^{-1}\gamma(\bar{\lambda})^* \in \mathfrak{S}_1$

Main abstract result: First order case

Theorem

A, B selfadjoint, $S = A \cap B$ densely defined and $\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ QBT

$$A = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_0 \quad \text{and} \quad B = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_1$$

Assume

$$(A - \mu)^{-1} \geq (B - \mu)^{-1} \quad \text{for some } \mu \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(B) \cap \mathbb{R}$$

and $\overline{\gamma(\lambda_0)} \in \mathfrak{S}_2$, $M(\lambda_1)^{-1}, M(\lambda_2)$ bounded for $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$ Then:

- $(B - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda)^{-1} = -\gamma(\lambda)M(\lambda)^{-1}\gamma(\bar{\lambda})^* \in \mathfrak{S}_1$
- $\text{Im} \log(\overline{M(\lambda)}) \in \mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{G})$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$

Main abstract result: First order case

Theorem

A, B selfadjoint, $S = A \cap B$ densely defined and $\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ QBT

$$A = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_0 \quad \text{and} \quad B = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_1$$

Assume

$$(A - \mu)^{-1} \geq (B - \mu)^{-1} \quad \text{for some } \mu \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(B) \cap \mathbb{R}$$

and $\overline{\gamma(\lambda_0)} \in \mathfrak{S}_2$, $M(\lambda_1)^{-1}, M(\lambda_2)$ bounded for $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$ Then:

- $(B - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda)^{-1} = -\gamma(\lambda)M(\lambda)^{-1}\gamma(\bar{\lambda})^* \in \mathfrak{S}_1$
- $\text{Im} \log(\overline{M(\lambda)}) \in \mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{G})$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\xi(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \frac{1}{\pi} \text{tr} (\text{Im} \log(\overline{M(t + i\varepsilon)})) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

is a spectral shift function for $\{A, B\}$

Main abstract result: First order case

Theorem

A, B selfadjoint, $S = A \cap B$ densely defined and $\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ QBT

$$A = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_0 \quad \text{and} \quad B = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_1$$

Assume

$$(A - \mu)^{-1} \geq (B - \mu)^{-1} \quad \text{for some } \mu \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(B) \cap \mathbb{R}$$

and $\overline{\gamma(\lambda_0)} \in \mathfrak{S}_2$, $M(\lambda_1)^{-1}, M(\lambda_2)$ bounded for $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$ Then:

- $(B - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda)^{-1} = -\gamma(\lambda)M(\lambda)^{-1}\gamma(\bar{\lambda})^* \in \mathfrak{S}_1$
- $\text{Im} \log(\overline{M(\lambda)}) \in \mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{G})$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\xi(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \frac{1}{\pi} \text{tr} (\text{Im} \log(\overline{M(t + i\varepsilon)})) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

is a spectral shift function for $\{A, B\}$, in particular,

$$\text{tr} ((B - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda)^{-1}) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(t - \lambda)^2} \xi(t) dt.$$

Main abstract result: Higher order case

Theorem

A, B selfadjoint, $S = A \cap B$ densely defined and $\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ QBT

$$A = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_0 \quad \text{and} \quad B = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_1$$

Main abstract result: Higher order case

Theorem

A, B selfadjoint, $S = A \cap B$ densely defined and $\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ QBT

$$A = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_0 \quad \text{and} \quad B = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_1$$

Assume

$$(A - \mu)^{-1} \geq (B - \mu)^{-1} \quad \text{for some } \mu \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(B) \cap \mathbb{R}$$

$M(\lambda_1)^{-1}, M(\lambda_2)$ bounded for λ_1, λ_2

Main abstract result: Higher order case

Theorem

A, B selfadjoint, $S = A \cap B$ densely defined and $\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ QBT

$$A = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_0 \quad \text{and} \quad B = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_1$$

Assume

$$(A - \mu)^{-1} \geq (B - \mu)^{-1} \quad \text{for some } \mu \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(B) \cap \mathbb{R}$$

$M(\lambda_1)^{-1}, M(\lambda_2)$ bounded for λ_1, λ_2 and for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\frac{d^p}{d\lambda^p} \overline{\gamma(\lambda)} \frac{d^q}{d\lambda^q} (M(\lambda)^{-1} \gamma(\bar{\lambda})^*) \in \mathfrak{S}_1, \quad p + q = 2k,$$

$$\frac{d^q}{d\lambda^q} (M(\lambda)^{-1} \gamma(\bar{\lambda})^*) \frac{d^p}{d\lambda^p} \overline{\gamma(\lambda)} \in \mathfrak{S}_1, \quad p + q = 2k,$$

$$\frac{d^j}{d\lambda^j} \overline{M(\lambda)} \in \mathfrak{S}_{\frac{2k+1}{j}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, 2k + 1.$$

Main abstract result: Higher order case

Theorem

A, B selfadjoint, $S = A \cap B$ densely defined and $\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ QBT

Assume $A = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_0$ and $B = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_1$

$$(A - \mu)^{-1} \geq (B - \mu)^{-1} \quad \text{for some } \mu \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(B) \cap \mathbb{R}$$

$M(\lambda_1)^{-1}, M(\lambda_2)$ bounded for λ_1, λ_2 and \mathfrak{S}_p -conditions.

Main abstract result: Higher order case

Theorem

A, B selfadjoint, $S = A \cap B$ densely defined and $\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ QBT

Assume $A = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_0$ and $B = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_1$

$$(A - \mu)^{-1} \geq (B - \mu)^{-1} \quad \text{for some } \mu \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(B) \cap \mathbb{R}$$

$M(\lambda_1)^{-1}, M(\lambda_2)$ bounded for λ_1, λ_2 and \mathfrak{S}_p -conditions. Then:

- $(B - \lambda)^{-(2k+1)} - (A - \lambda)^{-(2k+1)} \in \mathfrak{S}_1$

Main abstract result: Higher order case

Theorem

A, B selfadjoint, $S = A \cap B$ densely defined and $\{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ QBT

Assume $A = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_0$ and $B = T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_1$

$$(A - \mu)^{-1} \geq (B - \mu)^{-1} \quad \text{for some } \mu \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(B) \cap \mathbb{R}$$

$M(\lambda_1)^{-1}, M(\lambda_2)$ bounded for λ_1, λ_2 and \mathfrak{S}_p -conditions. Then:

- $(B - \lambda)^{-(2k+1)} - (A - \lambda)^{-(2k+1)} \in \mathfrak{S}_1$
- For any ONB (φ_j) in \mathcal{G} and a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\xi(t) = \sum_j \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \frac{1}{\pi} (\operatorname{Im} \log(\overline{M(t + i\varepsilon)}) \varphi_j, \varphi_j)$$

is a spectral shift function for $\{A, B\}$, in particular,

$$\operatorname{tr} \left((B - \lambda)^{-(2k+1)} - (A - \lambda)^{-(2k+1)} \right) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{2k+1}{(t - \lambda)^{2k+2}} \xi(t) dt$$

Remarks

- If A, B semibounded then

$$(A - \mu)^{-1} \geq (B - \mu)^{-1} \iff A \leq B$$

Remarks

- If A, B semibounded then

$$(A - \mu)^{-1} \geq (B - \mu)^{-1} \iff A \leq B$$

in accordance with $\xi(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{tr} (\operatorname{Im} \log(\overline{M(t + i0)})) \geq 0$

- If A, B semibounded then

$$(A - \mu)^{-1} \geq (B - \mu)^{-1} \iff A \leq B$$

in accordance with $\xi(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{tr}(\text{Im} \log(\overline{M(t+i0)})) \geq 0$

- Key difficulty: For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+$ prove that imaginary part of

$$\log(\overline{M(\lambda)}) := -i \int_0^\infty (\overline{M(\lambda)} + it)^{-1} - (1 + it)^{-1} dt$$

has (weak) trace class property [BirmanEntina'67]
[Naboko'87] and, e.g. [GesztesyMakarovNaboko'99]

- If A, B semibounded then

$$(A - \mu)^{-1} \geq (B - \mu)^{-1} \iff A \leq B$$

in accordance with $\xi(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{tr}(\text{Im} \log(\overline{M(t+i0)})) \geq 0$

- Key difficulty: For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+$ prove that imaginary part of

$$\log(\overline{M(\lambda)}) := -i \int_0^\infty (\overline{M(\lambda)} + it)^{-1} - (1 + it)^{-1} dt$$

has (weak) trace class property [BirmanEntina'67]
[Naboko'87] and, e.g. [GesztesyMakarovNaboko'99]

Extend Riesz-Herglotz integral representation

$$\log(\overline{M(\lambda)}) = C + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{t - \lambda} - \frac{t}{1 + t^2} \right) \Xi(t) dt, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+,$$

onto real line around μ

- If A, B semibounded then

$$(A - \mu)^{-1} \geq (B - \mu)^{-1} \iff A \leq B$$

in accordance with $\xi(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Im} \log(\overline{M(t+i0)})) \geq 0$

- Key difficulty: For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+$ prove that imaginary part of

$$\log(\overline{M(\lambda)}) := -i \int_0^\infty (\overline{M(\lambda)} + it)^{-1} - (1 + it)^{-1} dt$$

has (weak) trace class property [BirmanEntina'67]
[Naboko'87] and, e.g. [GesztesyMakarovNaboko'99]

Extend Riesz-Herglotz integral representation

$$\log(\overline{M(\lambda)}) = C + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{t - \lambda} - \frac{t}{1 + t^2} \right) \Xi(t) dt, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+,$$

onto real line around μ

- In [B.LangerLotoreichik'13] for selfadjoint elliptic PDOs

$$(B - \lambda)^{-(2k+1)} - (A - \lambda)^{-(2k+1)} \in \mathfrak{S}_1$$

Representation of SSF via M -function:

Representation of SSF via M -function:

- Rank 1, $k = 0$ [LangerSnooYavrian'01]

Representation of SSF via M -function:

- Rank 1, $k = 0$ [LangerSnooYavrian'01]
- Rank $n < \infty$, $k = 0$ [B. MalamudNeidhardt'08]

Representation of SSF via M -function:

- Rank 1, $k = 0$ [LangerSnooYavrian'01]
- Rank $n < \infty$, $k = 0$ [B. MalamudNeidhardt'08]
- Other representation via modified perturbation determinant for M for $k = 0$ [MalamudNeidhardt'15]

Representation of SSF via M -function:

- Rank 1, $k = 0$ [LangerSnooYavrian'01]
- Rank $n < \infty$, $k = 0$ [B. MalamudNeidhardt'08]
- Other representation via modified perturbation determinant for M for $k = 0$ [MalamudNeidhardt'15]

Representation of scattering matrix $\{S(\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ of $\{A, B\}$ for the trace class case:

$$S(\lambda) = I - 2i\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} M(\lambda + i0)} \left(\overline{M(\lambda + i0)} \right)^{-1} \sqrt{\operatorname{Im} M(\lambda + i0)}$$

Representation of SSF via M -function:

- Rank 1, $k = 0$ [LangerSnooYavrian'01]
- Rank $n < \infty$, $k = 0$ [B. MalamudNeidhardt'08]
- Other representation via modified perturbation determinant for M for $k = 0$ [MalamudNeidhardt'15]

Representation of scattering matrix $\{S(\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ of $\{A, B\}$ for the trace class case:

$$S(\lambda) = I - 2i\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} M(\lambda + i0)} \left(\overline{M(\lambda + i0)} \right)^{-1} \sqrt{\operatorname{Im} M(\lambda + i0)}$$

- Rank $n < \infty$ [AdamyantPavlov'86], [AlbeverioKurasov'00]
[B. MalamudNeidhardt'08]

Representation of SSF via M -function:

- Rank 1, $k = 0$ [LangerSnooYavrian'01]
- Rank $n < \infty$, $k = 0$ [B. MalamudNeidhardt'08]
- Other representation via modified perturbation determinant for M for $k = 0$ [MalamudNeidhardt'15]

Representation of scattering matrix $\{S(\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ of $\{A, B\}$ for the trace class case:

$$S(\lambda) = I - 2i\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} M(\lambda + i0)} \left(\overline{M(\lambda + i0)} \right)^{-1} \sqrt{\operatorname{Im} M(\lambda + i0)}$$

- Rank $n < \infty$ [AdamyantPavlov'86], [AlbeverioKurasov'00] [B. MalamudNeidhardt'08]
- $k = 0$ [B. MalamudNeidhardt'17] [MantilePosilicanoSini'16]

PART III

Applications

Example 1: Robin boundary conditions

$$A_{\beta_0} f = -\Delta f + Vf, \quad \text{dom } A_{\beta_0} = \{f \in H^2(\Omega) : \beta_0 f|_{\partial\Omega} = \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega}\}$$

$$A_{\beta_1} f = -\Delta f + Vf, \quad \text{dom } A_{\beta_1} = \{f \in H^2(\Omega) : \beta_1 f|_{\partial\Omega} = \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega}\}$$

Example 1: Robin boundary conditions

$$A_{\beta_0} f = -\Delta f + Vf, \quad \text{dom } A_{\beta_0} = \{f \in H^2(\Omega) : \beta_0 f|_{\partial\Omega} = \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega}\}$$

$$A_{\beta_1} f = -\Delta f + Vf, \quad \text{dom } A_{\beta_1} = \{f \in H^2(\Omega) : \beta_1 f|_{\partial\Omega} = \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega}\}$$

- Ω domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $\partial\Omega$ smooth and compact

Example 1: Robin boundary conditions

$$A_{\beta_0} f = -\Delta f + Vf, \quad \text{dom } A_{\beta_0} = \{f \in H^2(\Omega) : \beta_0 f|_{\partial\Omega} = \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega}\}$$

$$A_{\beta_1} f = -\Delta f + Vf, \quad \text{dom } A_{\beta_1} = \{f \in H^2(\Omega) : \beta_1 f|_{\partial\Omega} = \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega}\}$$

- Ω domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $\partial\Omega$ smooth and compact
- $V \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ real and $\beta_0, \beta_1 \in C^2(\partial\Omega)$ real, $\beta_0(x) \neq \beta_1(x)$

Example 1: Robin boundary conditions

$$A_{\beta_0} f = -\Delta f + Vf, \quad \text{dom } A_{\beta_0} = \{f \in H^2(\Omega) : \beta_0 f|_{\partial\Omega} = \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega}\}$$

$$A_{\beta_1} f = -\Delta f + Vf, \quad \text{dom } A_{\beta_1} = \{f \in H^2(\Omega) : \beta_1 f|_{\partial\Omega} = \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega}\}$$

- Ω domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $\partial\Omega$ smooth and compact
- $V \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ real and $\beta_0, \beta_1 \in C^2(\partial\Omega)$ real, $\beta_0(x) \neq \beta_1(x)$
- Neumann-to-Dirichlet map $\mathcal{N}(\lambda) \partial_\nu f_\lambda|_{\partial\Omega} = f_\lambda|_{\partial\Omega}$ in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$

Example 1: Robin boundary conditions

$$A_{\beta_0} f = -\Delta f + Vf, \quad \text{dom } A_{\beta_0} = \{f \in H^2(\Omega) : \beta_0 f|_{\partial\Omega} = \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega}\}$$

$$A_{\beta_1} f = -\Delta f + Vf, \quad \text{dom } A_{\beta_1} = \{f \in H^2(\Omega) : \beta_1 f|_{\partial\Omega} = \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega}\}$$

- Ω domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $\partial\Omega$ smooth and compact
- $V \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ real and $\beta_0, \beta_1 \in C^2(\partial\Omega)$ real, $\beta_0(x) \neq \beta_1(x)$
- Neumann-to-Dirichlet map $\mathcal{N}(\lambda) \partial_\nu f_\lambda|_{\partial\Omega} = f_\lambda|_{\partial\Omega}$ in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$

Theorem: For $k \geq \frac{n-3}{4}$ one has

- $(A_{\beta_1} - \lambda)^{-(2k+1)} - (A_{\beta_0} - \lambda)^{-(2k+1)} \in \mathfrak{S}_1(L^2(\Omega))$

Example 1: Robin boundary conditions

$$A_{\beta_0} f = -\Delta f + Vf, \quad \text{dom } A_{\beta_0} = \{f \in H^2(\Omega) : \beta_0 f|_{\partial\Omega} = \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega}\}$$

$$A_{\beta_1} f = -\Delta f + Vf, \quad \text{dom } A_{\beta_1} = \{f \in H^2(\Omega) : \beta_1 f|_{\partial\Omega} = \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega}\}$$

- Ω domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $\partial\Omega$ smooth and compact
- $V \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ real and $\beta_0, \beta_1 \in C^2(\partial\Omega)$ real, $\beta_0(x) \neq \beta_1(x)$
- Neumann-to-Dirichlet map $\mathcal{N}(\lambda) \partial_\nu f_\lambda|_{\partial\Omega} = f_\lambda|_{\partial\Omega}$ in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$

Theorem: For $k \geq \frac{n-3}{4}$ one has

- $(A_{\beta_1} - \lambda)^{-(2k+1)} - (A_{\beta_0} - \lambda)^{-(2k+1)} \in \mathfrak{S}_1(L^2(\Omega))$
- Spectral shift function for $\{A_{\beta_0}, A_{\beta_1}\}$:

$$\xi(t) = \sum_j \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\text{Im}(\log(\mathcal{M}_0(t+i\varepsilon)) - \log(\mathcal{M}_1(t+i\varepsilon))) \varphi_j, \varphi_j \right),$$

Example 1: Robin boundary conditions

$$A_{\beta_0} f = -\Delta f + Vf, \quad \text{dom } A_{\beta_0} = \{f \in H^2(\Omega) : \beta_0 f|_{\partial\Omega} = \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega}\}$$

$$A_{\beta_1} f = -\Delta f + Vf, \quad \text{dom } A_{\beta_1} = \{f \in H^2(\Omega) : \beta_1 f|_{\partial\Omega} = \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega}\}$$

- Ω domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $\partial\Omega$ smooth and compact
- $V \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ real and $\beta_0, \beta_1 \in C^2(\partial\Omega)$ real, $\beta_0(x) \neq \beta_1(x)$
- Neumann-to-Dirichlet map $\mathcal{N}(\lambda)\partial_\nu f_\lambda|_{\partial\Omega} = f_\lambda|_{\partial\Omega}$ in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$

Theorem: For $k \geq \frac{n-3}{4}$ one has

- $(A_{\beta_1} - \lambda)^{-(2k+1)} - (A_{\beta_0} - \lambda)^{-(2k+1)} \in \mathfrak{S}_1(L^2(\Omega))$
- Spectral shift function for $\{A_{\beta_0}, A_{\beta_1}\}$:

$$\xi(t) = \sum_j \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\text{Im}(\log(\mathcal{M}_0(t+i\varepsilon)) - \log(\mathcal{M}_1(t+i\varepsilon))) \varphi_j, \varphi_j \right),$$

where $\mathcal{M}_i(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\beta - \beta_i} (\beta_i \overline{\mathcal{N}(\lambda)} - I_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}) (\beta \overline{\mathcal{N}(\lambda)} - I_{L^2(\partial\Omega)})^{-1}$,
and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\beta_i(x) < \beta$ for all $x \in \partial\Omega$ and $i = 0, 1$.

Example 1: Robin boundary conditions

$$A_{\beta_0} f = -\Delta f + Vf, \quad \text{dom } A_{\beta_0} = \{f \in H^2(\Omega) : \beta_0 f|_{\partial\Omega} = \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega}\}$$

$$A_{\beta_1} f = -\Delta f + Vf, \quad \text{dom } A_{\beta_1} = \{f \in H^2(\Omega) : \beta_1 f|_{\partial\Omega} = \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega}\}$$

- Ω domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $\partial\Omega$ smooth and compact
- $V \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ real and $\beta_0, \beta_1 \in C^2(\partial\Omega)$ real, $\beta_0(x) \neq \beta_1(x)$
- Neumann-to-Dirichlet map $\mathcal{N}(\lambda)\partial_\nu f_\lambda|_{\partial\Omega} = f_\lambda|_{\partial\Omega}$ in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$

Theorem: For $n = 2, 3$ one has

- $(A_{\beta_1} - \lambda)^{-1} - (A_{\beta_0} - \lambda)^{-1} \in \mathfrak{S}_1(L^2(\Omega))$
- Spectral shift function for $\{A_{\beta_0}, A_{\beta_1}\}$:

$$\xi(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \frac{1}{\pi} \text{tr} \left(\text{Im}(\log(\mathcal{M}_0(t + i\varepsilon)) - \log(\mathcal{M}_1(t + i\varepsilon))) \right),$$

where $\mathcal{M}_i(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\beta - \beta_i} (\beta_i \overline{\mathcal{N}(\lambda)} - I_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}) (\beta \overline{\mathcal{N}(\lambda)} - I_{L^2(\partial\Omega)})^{-1}$,
and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\beta_i(x) < \beta$ for all $x \in \partial\Omega$ and $i = 0, 1$.

Example 2: Compactly supported potentials in \mathbb{R}^n

Example 2: Compactly supported potentials in \mathbb{R}^n

- $A = -\Delta$ and $B = -\Delta + V$ with $\text{dom } A = \text{dom } B = H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$
- $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ real-valued with compact support in \mathcal{B}_+

Example 2: Compactly supported potentials in \mathbb{R}^n

- $A = -\Delta$ and $B = -\Delta + V$ with $\text{dom } A = \text{dom } B = H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$
- $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ real-valued with compact support in \mathcal{B}_+

Multidimensional Glazman splitting

Example 2: Compactly supported potentials in \mathbb{R}^n

- $A = -\Delta$ and $B = -\Delta + V$ with $\text{dom } A = \text{dom } B = H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$
- $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ real-valued with compact support in \mathcal{B}_+

Multidimensional Glazman splitting: Instead of $\{A, B\}$ consider

$$\left\{ A, \begin{pmatrix} A_+ & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A_+ & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} B_+ & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} B_+ & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}, B \right\},$$

Example 2: Compactly supported potentials in \mathbb{R}^n

- $A = -\Delta$ and $B = -\Delta + V$ with $\text{dom } A = \text{dom } B = H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$
- $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ real-valued with compact support in \mathcal{B}_+

Multidimensional Glazman splitting: Instead of $\{A, B\}$ consider

$$\left\{ A, \begin{pmatrix} A_+ & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A_+ & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} B_+ & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} B_+ & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}, B \right\},$$

where $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^2(\mathcal{B}_+) \oplus L^2(\mathcal{B}_+^c)$ and

- $A_+ = -\Delta$ with $\text{dom } A_+ = H^2(\mathcal{B}_+) \cap H_0^1(\mathcal{B}_+)$ in $L^2(\mathcal{B}_+)$
- $B_+ = -\Delta + V$ with $\text{dom } B_+ = H^2(\mathcal{B}_+) \cap H_0^1(\mathcal{B}_+)$ in $L^2(\mathcal{B}_+)$
- $C = -\Delta$ with $\text{dom } C = H^2(\mathcal{B}_+^c) \cap H_0^1(\mathcal{B}_+^c)$ in $L^2(\mathcal{B}_+^c)$

Example 2: Compactly supported potentials in \mathbb{R}^n

- $A = -\Delta$ and $B = -\Delta + V$ with $\text{dom } A = \text{dom } B = H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$
- $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ real-valued with compact support in \mathcal{B}_+

Multidimensional Glazman splitting: Instead of $\{A, B\}$ consider

$$\left\{ A, \begin{pmatrix} A_+ & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A_+ & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} B_+ & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} B_+ & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}, B \right\},$$

where $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^2(\mathcal{B}_+) \oplus L^2(\mathcal{B}_+^c)$ and

- $A_+ = -\Delta$ with $\text{dom } A_+ = H^2(\mathcal{B}_+) \cap H_0^1(\mathcal{B}_+)$ in $L^2(\mathcal{B}_+)$
- $B_+ = -\Delta + V$ with $\text{dom } B_+ = H^2(\mathcal{B}_+) \cap H_0^1(\mathcal{B}_+)$ in $L^2(\mathcal{B}_+)$
- $C = -\Delta$ with $\text{dom } C = H^2(\mathcal{B}_+^c) \cap H_0^1(\mathcal{B}_+^c)$ in $L^2(\mathcal{B}_+^c)$

Recall: SSF for $\{A_+, B_+\}$ is $\xi_+(t) = N_{A_+}(t) - N_{B_+}(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$

Example 2: Compactly supported potentials in \mathbb{R}^n

- $A = -\Delta$ and $B = -\Delta + V$ with $\text{dom } A = \text{dom } B = H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$
- $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ real-valued with compact support in \mathcal{B}_+

Multidimensional Glazman splitting: Instead of $\{A, B\}$ consider

$$\left\{ A, \begin{pmatrix} A_+ & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A_+ & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} B_+ & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} B_+ & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}, B \right\},$$

where $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^2(\mathcal{B}_+) \oplus L^2(\mathcal{B}_+^c)$ and

- $A_+ = -\Delta$ with $\text{dom } A_+ = H^2(\mathcal{B}_+) \cap H_0^1(\mathcal{B}_+)$ in $L^2(\mathcal{B}_+)$
- $B_+ = -\Delta + V$ with $\text{dom } B_+ = H^2(\mathcal{B}_+) \cap H_0^1(\mathcal{B}_+)$ in $L^2(\mathcal{B}_+)$
- $C = -\Delta$ with $\text{dom } C = H^2(\mathcal{B}_+^c) \cap H_0^1(\mathcal{B}_+^c)$ in $L^2(\mathcal{B}_+^c)$

Recall: SSF for $\{A_+, B_+\}$ is $\xi_+(t) = N_{A_+}(t) - N_{B_+}(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$

Note: Extends to more general problems [SjöstrandZworski'99]

Example 2: Compactly supported potentials in \mathbb{R}^n

Theorem: For $k > \frac{n-2}{4}$ one has

- $(B - \lambda)^{-(2k+1)} - (A - \lambda)^{-(2k+1)} \in \mathfrak{G}_1(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$

Example 2: Compactly supported potentials in \mathbb{R}^n

Theorem: For $k > \frac{n-2}{4}$ one has

- $(B - \lambda)^{-(2k+1)} - (A - \lambda)^{-(2k+1)} \in \mathfrak{G}_1(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$
- Spectral shift function for $\{A = -\Delta, B = -\Delta + V\}$:

$$\xi(t) = \sum_j \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\operatorname{Im}(\log \mathfrak{N}(t + i0) - \log \mathfrak{N}_V(t + i0)) \varphi_j, \varphi_j \right) + \xi_+(t)$$

Example 2: Compactly supported potentials in \mathbb{R}^n

Theorem: For $k > \frac{n-2}{4}$ one has

- $(B - \lambda)^{-(2k+1)} - (A - \lambda)^{-(2k+1)} \in \mathfrak{G}_1(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$
- Spectral shift function for $\{A = -\Delta, B = -\Delta + V\}$:

$$\xi(t) = \sum_j \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\operatorname{Im}(\log \mathfrak{N}(t + i0) - \log \mathfrak{N}_V(t + i0)) \varphi_j, \varphi_j \right) + \xi_+(t)$$

where $\xi_+(t) = N_{A_+}(t) - N_{B_+}(t)$

Example 2: Compactly supported potentials in \mathbb{R}^n

Theorem: For $k > \frac{n-2}{4}$ one has

- $(B - \lambda)^{-(2k+1)} - (A - \lambda)^{-(2k+1)} \in \mathfrak{S}_1(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$
- Spectral shift function for $\{A = -\Delta, B = -\Delta + V\}$:

$$\xi(t) = \sum_j \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\operatorname{Im}(\log \mathfrak{N}(t + i0) - \log \mathfrak{N}_V(t + i0)) \varphi_j, \varphi_j \right) + \xi_+(t)$$

where $\xi_+(t) = N_{A_+}(t) - N_{B_+}(t)$ and

$$\mathfrak{N}(\lambda) = \iota(\mathcal{D}_+(\lambda) + \mathcal{D}_-(\lambda))^{-1} \tilde{\iota} : L^2(\partial\mathcal{B}_+) \rightarrow L^2(\partial\mathcal{B}_+)$$

$$\mathfrak{N}_V(\lambda) = \iota(\mathcal{D}_+^V(\lambda) + \mathcal{D}_-(\lambda))^{-1} \tilde{\iota} : L^2(\partial\mathcal{B}_+) \rightarrow L^2(\partial\mathcal{B}_+)$$

and $\mathcal{D}_\pm(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{D}_+^V(\lambda)$ Dirichlet-to-Neumann maps for $-\Delta - \lambda$ and $-\Delta + V - \lambda$ on \mathcal{B}_+ and \mathcal{B}_+^c .

Example 2: Compactly supported potentials in \mathbb{R}^n

Theorem: For $k > \frac{n-2}{4}$ one has

- $(B - \lambda)^{-(2k+1)} - (A - \lambda)^{-(2k+1)} \in \mathfrak{S}_1(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$
- Spectral shift function for $\{A = -\Delta, B = -\Delta + V\}$:

$$\xi(t) = \sum_j \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\operatorname{Im}(\log \mathfrak{N}(t + i0) - \log \mathfrak{N}_V(t + i0)) \varphi_j, \varphi_j \right) + \xi_+(t)$$

where $\xi_+(t) = N_{A_+}(t) - N_{B_+}(t)$ and

$$\mathfrak{N}(\lambda) = \iota(\mathcal{D}_+(\lambda) + \mathcal{D}_-(\lambda))^{-1} \tilde{\iota} : L^2(\partial\mathcal{B}_+) \rightarrow L^2(\partial\mathcal{B}_+)$$

$$\mathfrak{N}_V(\lambda) = \iota(\mathcal{D}_+^V(\lambda) + \mathcal{D}_-(\lambda))^{-1} \tilde{\iota} : L^2(\partial\mathcal{B}_+) \rightarrow L^2(\partial\mathcal{B}_+)$$

and $\mathcal{D}_\pm(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{D}_+^V(\lambda)$ Dirichlet-to-Neumann maps for $-\Delta - \lambda$ and $-\Delta + V - \lambda$ on \mathcal{B}_+ and \mathcal{B}_+^c .

Note: ξ continuous for $t \geq 0$, although $N_{A_+} - N_{B_+}$ step function

Example 3: δ -potentials on hypersurfaces

Example 3: δ -potentials on hypersurfaces

- Ω_+ bdd. domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $\mathcal{C} := \partial\Omega_+$ smooth, $\Omega_- := \overline{\Omega_+}^c$

Example 3: δ -potentials on hypersurfaces

- Ω_+ bdd. domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $\mathcal{C} := \partial\Omega_+$ smooth, $\Omega_- := \overline{\Omega_+}^{\mathcal{C}}$
- $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ real and $\alpha, \alpha^{-1} \in L^\infty(\mathcal{C})$ real

Example 3: δ -potentials on hypersurfaces

- Ω_+ bdd. domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $\mathcal{C} := \partial\Omega_+$ smooth, $\Omega_- := \overline{\Omega_+}^c$
- $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ real and $\alpha, \alpha^{-1} \in L^\infty(\mathcal{C})$ real

Consider the pair $\{A_{\delta,\alpha}, A\}$ with 'free' operator

$$Af = -\Delta f + Vf, \quad \text{dom } A = H^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

Example 3: δ -potentials on hypersurfaces

- Ω_+ bdd. domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $\mathcal{C} := \partial\Omega_+$ smooth, $\Omega_- := \overline{\Omega_+}^c$
- $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ real and $\alpha, \alpha^{-1} \in L^\infty(\mathcal{C})$ real

Consider the pair $\{A_{\delta,\alpha}, A\}$ with 'free' operator

$$Af = -\Delta f + Vf, \quad \text{dom } A = H^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

and the operator $-\Delta + V + \alpha\delta_{\mathcal{C}}$ given by

$$A_{\delta,\alpha}f = -\Delta f + Vf,$$

$$\text{dom } A_{\delta,\alpha} = \left\{ f = \begin{pmatrix} f_+ \\ f_- \end{pmatrix} \in H_{\Delta}^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{C}) : \begin{array}{l} f_+|_{\mathcal{C}} = f_-|_{\mathcal{C}}, \\ \alpha f_{\pm}|_{\mathcal{C}} = \partial_{\nu_+} f_+|_{\mathcal{C}} + \partial_{\nu_-} f_-|_{\mathcal{C}} \end{array} \right\}$$

Example 3: δ -potentials on hypersurfaces

- Ω_+ bdd. domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $\mathcal{C} := \partial\Omega_+$ smooth, $\Omega_- := \overline{\Omega_+}^c$
- $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ real and $\alpha, \alpha^{-1} \in L^\infty(\mathcal{C})$ real

Consider the pair $\{A_{\delta,\alpha}, A\}$ with 'free' operator

$$Af = -\Delta f + Vf, \quad \text{dom } A = H^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

and the operator $-\Delta + V + \alpha\delta_{\mathcal{C}}$ given by

$$A_{\delta,\alpha}f = -\Delta f + Vf,$$

$$\text{dom } A_{\delta,\alpha} = \left\{ f = \begin{pmatrix} f_+ \\ f_- \end{pmatrix} \in H_{\Delta}^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{C}) : \begin{array}{l} f_+|_{\mathcal{C}} = f_-|_{\mathcal{C}}, \\ \alpha f_{\pm}|_{\mathcal{C}} = \partial_{\nu_+} f_+|_{\mathcal{C}} + \partial_{\nu_-} f_-|_{\mathcal{C}} \end{array} \right\}$$

Proposition

$A_{\delta,\alpha}$ selfadjoint and semibounded,

Example 3: δ -potentials on hypersurfaces

- Ω_+ bdd. domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $\mathcal{C} := \partial\Omega_+$ smooth, $\Omega_- := \overline{\Omega_+}^c$
- $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ real and $\alpha, \alpha^{-1} \in L^\infty(\mathcal{C})$ real

Consider the pair $\{A_{\delta,\alpha}, A\}$ with 'free' operator

$$Af = -\Delta f + Vf, \quad \text{dom } A = H^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

and the operator $-\Delta + V + \alpha\delta_{\mathcal{C}}$ given by

$$A_{\delta,\alpha}f = -\Delta f + Vf,$$

$$\text{dom } A_{\delta,\alpha} = \left\{ f = \begin{pmatrix} f_+ \\ f_- \end{pmatrix} \in H_{\Delta}^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{C}) : \begin{array}{l} f_+|_{\mathcal{C}} = f_-|_{\mathcal{C}}, \\ \alpha f_{\pm}|_{\mathcal{C}} = \partial_{\nu_+} f_+|_{\mathcal{C}} + \partial_{\nu_-} f_-|_{\mathcal{C}} \end{array} \right\}$$

Proposition

$A_{\delta,\alpha}$ selfadjoint and semibounded, $A_{\delta,0} = A$

Example 3: δ -potentials on hypersurfaces

- Ω_+ bdd. domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $\mathcal{C} := \partial\Omega_+$ smooth, $\Omega_- := \overline{\Omega_+}^c$
- $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ real and $\alpha, \alpha^{-1} \in L^\infty(\mathcal{C})$ real

Consider the pair $\{A_{\delta,\alpha}, A\}$ with 'free' operator

$$Af = -\Delta f + Vf, \quad \text{dom } A = H^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

and the operator $-\Delta + V + \alpha\delta_{\mathcal{C}}$ given by

$$A_{\delta,\alpha}f = -\Delta f + Vf,$$

$$\text{dom } A_{\delta,\alpha} = \left\{ f = \begin{pmatrix} f_+ \\ f_- \end{pmatrix} \in H_{\Delta}^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{C}) : \begin{array}{l} f_+|_{\mathcal{C}} = f_-|_{\mathcal{C}}, \\ \alpha f_{\pm}|_{\mathcal{C}} = \partial_{\nu_+} f_+|_{\mathcal{C}} + \partial_{\nu_-} f_-|_{\mathcal{C}} \end{array} \right\}$$

Proposition

$A_{\delta,\alpha}$ selfadjoint and semibounded, $A_{\delta,0} = A$

$$\sigma_{\text{ess}}(A_{\delta,\alpha}) = [0, \infty) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(A_{\delta,\alpha}) \cap (-\infty, 0) \text{ finite}$$

Example 3: δ -potentials on hypersurfaces

- Ω_+ bdd. domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $\mathcal{C} := \partial\Omega_+$ smooth, $\Omega_- := \overline{\Omega_+}^c$
- $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ real and $\alpha, \alpha^{-1} \in L^\infty(\mathcal{C})$ real

Consider the pair $\{A_{\delta,\alpha}, A\}$ with 'free' operator

$$Af = -\Delta f + Vf, \quad \text{dom } A = H^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

and the operator $-\Delta + V + \alpha\delta_{\mathcal{C}}$ given by

$$A_{\delta,\alpha}f = -\Delta f + Vf,$$

$$\text{dom } A_{\delta,\alpha} = \left\{ f = \begin{pmatrix} f_+ \\ f_- \end{pmatrix} \in H_{\Delta}^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{C}) : \begin{array}{l} f_+|_{\mathcal{C}} = f_-|_{\mathcal{C}}, \\ \alpha f_{\pm}|_{\mathcal{C}} = \partial_{\nu_+} f_+|_{\mathcal{C}} + \partial_{\nu_-} f_-|_{\mathcal{C}} \end{array} \right\}$$

Proposition

$A_{\delta,\alpha}$ selfadjoint and semibounded, $A_{\delta,0} = A$

$$\sigma_{\text{ess}}(A_{\delta,\alpha}) = [0, \infty) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(A_{\delta,\alpha}) \cap (-\infty, 0) \text{ finite}$$

see [Exner et al. 80s -] [others....] for spectral properties of $A_{\delta,\alpha}$;

Example 3: δ -potentials on hypersurfaces

- Ω_+ bdd. domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $\mathcal{C} := \partial\Omega_+$ smooth, $\Omega_- := \overline{\Omega_+}^c$
- $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ real and $\alpha, \alpha^{-1} \in L^\infty(\mathcal{C})$ real

Consider the pair $\{A_{\delta,\alpha}, A\}$ with 'free' operator

$$Af = -\Delta f + Vf, \quad \text{dom } A = H^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

and the operator $-\Delta + V + \alpha\delta_{\mathcal{C}}$ given by

$$A_{\delta,\alpha}f = -\Delta f + Vf,$$

$$\text{dom } A_{\delta,\alpha} = \left\{ f = \begin{pmatrix} f_+ \\ f_- \end{pmatrix} \in H_{\Delta}^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{C}) : \begin{array}{l} f_+|_{\mathcal{C}} = f_-|_{\mathcal{C}}, \\ \alpha f_{\pm}|_{\mathcal{C}} = \partial_{\nu_+} f_+|_{\mathcal{C}} + \partial_{\nu_-} f_-|_{\mathcal{C}} \end{array} \right\}$$

Proposition

$A_{\delta,\alpha}$ selfadjoint and semibounded, $A_{\delta,0} = A$

$$\sigma_{\text{ess}}(A_{\delta,\alpha}) = [0, \infty) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(A_{\delta,\alpha}) \cap (-\infty, 0) \text{ finite}$$

see [Exner et al. 80s -] [others....] for spectral properties of $A_{\delta,\alpha}$;
cf. [B. Langer Lotoreichik 13]

Example 3: δ -potentials on hypersurfaces

Let

$$\mathcal{E}(\lambda) = (\mathcal{D}_+(\lambda) + \mathcal{D}_-(\lambda))^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R},$$

Dirichlet-to-Neumann maps $\mathcal{D}_\pm(\lambda)f_{\pm,\lambda}|_C = \partial_{\nu_\pm} f_{\pm,\lambda}|_C$ in $L^2(C)$

Example 3: δ -potentials on hypersurfaces

Let

$$\mathcal{E}(\lambda) = (\mathcal{D}_+(\lambda) + \mathcal{D}_-(\lambda))^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R},$$

Dirichlet-to-Neumann maps $\mathcal{D}_\pm(\lambda)f_{\pm,\lambda}|_C = \partial_{\nu_\pm} f_{\pm,\lambda}|_C$ in $L^2(C)$

Theorem: For $k \geq \frac{n-3}{4}$ one has

- $(A_{\delta,\alpha} - \lambda)^{-(2k+1)} - (A - \lambda)^{-(2k+1)} \in \mathfrak{S}_1(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$

Example 3: δ -potentials on hypersurfaces

Let

$$\mathcal{E}(\lambda) = (\mathcal{D}_+(\lambda) + \mathcal{D}_-(\lambda))^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R},$$

Dirichlet-to-Neumann maps $\mathcal{D}_\pm(\lambda)f_{\pm,\lambda}|_{\mathcal{C}} = \partial_{\nu_\pm} f_{\pm,\lambda}|_{\mathcal{C}}$ in $L^2(\mathcal{C})$

Theorem: For $k \geq \frac{n-3}{4}$ one has

- $(A_{\delta,\alpha} - \lambda)^{-(2k+1)} - (A - \lambda)^{-(2k+1)} \in \mathfrak{S}_1(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$
- Spectral shift function for $\{A_{\delta,\alpha}, A\}$:

$$\xi(t) = \sum_j \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\operatorname{Im}(\log(\mathcal{M}_0(t+i\varepsilon)) - \log(\mathcal{M}_\alpha(t+i\varepsilon))) \varphi_j, \varphi_j \right),$$

where $\mathcal{M}_\alpha(\lambda) = \frac{1}{c-\alpha} (\alpha \mathcal{E}(\lambda) - I_{L^2(\mathcal{C})}) (c \mathcal{E}(\lambda) - I_{L^2(\mathcal{C})})^{-1}$, and $c > 0$ such that $\alpha(x) < c$ for all $x \in \mathcal{C}$.

Example 3: δ -potentials on hypersurfaces

Let

$$\mathcal{E}(\lambda) = (\mathcal{D}_+(\lambda) + \mathcal{D}_-(\lambda))^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R},$$

Dirichlet-to-Neumann maps $\mathcal{D}_\pm(\lambda)f_{\pm,\lambda}|_C = \partial_{\nu_\pm} f_{\pm,\lambda}|_C$ in $L^2(C)$

Theorem: For $n = 2, 3$ one has

- $(A_{\delta,\alpha} - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda)^{-1} \in \mathfrak{S}_1(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$
- Spectral shift function for $\{A_{\delta,\alpha}, A\}$:

$$\xi(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\operatorname{Im}(\log(\mathcal{M}_0(t + i\varepsilon)) - \log(\mathcal{M}_\alpha(t + i\varepsilon))) \right),$$

where $\mathcal{M}_\alpha(\lambda) = \frac{1}{c-\alpha} (\alpha\mathcal{E}(\lambda) - I_{L^2(C)}) (c\mathcal{E}(\lambda) - I_{L^2(C)})^{-1}$, and $c > 0$ such that $\alpha(x) < c$ for all $x \in C$.

This talk was based on the papers

- JB, F. Gesztesy, S. Nakamura
Spectral shift functions and Dirichlet-to-Neumann maps
Math. Ann. 371 (2018), 1255-1300
- JB, F. Gesztesy, S. Nakamura
A spectral shift function for Schrödinger operators with singular interactions
Operator Theory Adv. Appl. 268 (2018), 89-110

Thank you for your attention